



3 Copy

राष्ट्रीय प्रतिलिपि आयोग  
पत्र सं. 3770 में काय आया  
दिनांक 30/01/14  
प्रतिलिपि आयोग  
राजस्थान राज्य न्यायालय, 1958

राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय कीठ, जयपुर  
Certified Copy of Order Dated... 28-1-2026

8  
1

887  
7/1/26

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR  
RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR BENCH, JAIPUR

S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. ....322...../2026

Deependra Khichar s/o Hukmaram, aged about 32 years,  
resident of Vaya Sanwali, Gokulpura, Sikar, Rajasthan -  
332021.

----- PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. State Of Rajasthan Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur - 302005.
2. Indusind Bank Through Its Branch Manager, Indusind Bank, Bajrang Kanta, Near Santoshi Mata Temple, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332021

-----RESPONDENTS


CIVIL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF  
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA;

AND

IN THE MATTER OF ARTICLE 14, 19 & 21 OF  
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA;

AND

IN THE MATTER OF ILLEGALLY FREEZING OF  
BANK ACCOUNT OF THE PETITIONER,  
CHALLENGING THE ARBITRARY, UNLAWFULL  
AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION OF THE  
CYBER CRIME DEPARTMENTS, RESULTING IN



SAJAN KUMAR MEHTA  
OATH COMMISSIONER  
Rajasthan High Court Bench  
JAIPUR

दिपेंद्र

OF BUSINESS ACTIVITIES AND VIOLATION OF  
PETITIONER FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF ILLEGALLY HOLDING THE  
FUNDS OF THE PETITIONER TO GAIN THEIR  
PROFIT AND CAUSING LOSS TO THE  
INNOCENT PETITIONER.

AND

IN MATTER OF PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL  
JUSTICE.



सही-प्रतिलिपि  
(H)  
प्रशासनिक अधिकारी न्यायिका  
राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय पीठ,  
जयपुर

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN  
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 322/2026

Deependra Khichar S/o Hukmaram, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of  
Vaya Sanwali, Gokulpura, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332021 .

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur - 302005.
2. Indusind Bank, Through Its Branch Manager, Indusind Bank, Bajrang Kanta, Near Santoshi Mata Temple, Sikar, Rajasthan - 332021

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Adarsh Singhal with  
Mr. Kuldeep Devra,  
Ms. Sahu Kansal

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Devakriti Vashishtha, AAAG for  
Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG  
Ms. Neha Sharma

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANEESH SHARMA**  
Order

28/01/2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:

" It is, therefore, humbly prayed that yours Lordships may graciously be pleased to accept and allow this writ petition by calling the entire record and after perusal of the same :-

(i) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the respondent-Bank to immediately unfreeze the current account of the petitioner bearing account no. 100143508224, held with Indusind Bank.

(ii) Order that the respondent Bank shall be at liberty to transfer the amount to the original account from which it was credited to the petitioner's account.

(iii) Direct the respondent-Bank to provide written reasons for the freezing of the account and any communication received from Cyber Crime authorities, if any.

(iv) Declare that the action of the respondent-Bank is arbitrary, illegal, and violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g), and 300A of the Constitution of India.

(v) Award costs of this petition in favour of the petitioner.

(vi) Pass such further or other orders as may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

(vii) Any other appropriate order or direction which is deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstance by this Hon'ble Court may also be passed in favour of the petitioner's committee."



सी-प्रतिनिधि  
राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जयपुर

3

881

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has never misused his bank account for the purpose of illegal transactions and has not committed any cyber crime. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the Investigating Agencies and will appear before the Bank Authorities and the Investigating Agencies as and when called upon. He therefore prays that the disputed amount, which has been received in the petitioner's account, may be frozen, but that the amount other than the disputed amount may kindly be allowed to be withdrawn. He further prays that the petitioner may be allowed to operate his bank account for the transaction of money.

4. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that the bank account of the petitioner has been frozen in pursuance of the Notice received from Inspector of Police, Police Station Bathinda, Bathinda, Punjab. Learned counsel for the respondent-Bank also submits that, as per information received, the disputed amount is around Rs.50,000/- and further submits that the petitioner may be directed not to discontinue the bank account until the investigation is completed or the criminal case is decided.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-Bank.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the submissions so made, this Court is of the considered view that merely because certain amount has been transferred to the petitioner's bank account in spite of the fact that the petitioner is

